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Over the last decade, we have seen bootcamps emerge as a pathway for adult learners to acquire new skills and change jobs.

As bootcamp graduates ourselves, we’ve benefited tremendously from these job training programs that helped us get jobs in technology and learn the skills necessary to build Career Karma.

Over the years, we have seen a number of players that delivered on what they promised to their students. However, we have also seen a growing number of schools making bold claims about helping people get jobs that they have not delivered.

Recently, we have also seen a flow of venture capital into this space which has enabled bootcamps to spend more on marketing. This makes it increasingly difficult for people to discover great programs with strong outcomes.

Bootcamps are ultimately measured by getting people a job and we believe these programs should be held accountable for their results.

Our aim for this report is to look at coding bootcamp data over the last decade by using information that is publicly available.

This approach empowers anyone to address some of the employer skepticism of the effectiveness of bootcamps as a viable pipeline for tech jobs.

We also want people to recognize that bootcamps are just a small part of the ~$1.1 trillion the United States spends on job training programs every year.

With the costs of higher education continuing to rise and over 1 million open technical positions that need to be filled, bootcamps are partnering with universities and corporations to figure out how to reconstruct the early talent pipeline which has the potential to serve millions of adult learners seeking to obtain technical skills that continue to be in demand.

Over the next decade, no one knows what the future of work will look like, but Career Karma will always be committed to helping people make their most important career decisions.

We hope you enjoy this study on the state of the coding bootcamp market in 2020.

Artur Meyster
Rubén Harris
Timur Meyster
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Key Findings

**Market Size**
In 2019, 33,959 students attended one of the 105 coding bootcamps studied in this analysis, a 4.38% growth since 2018. In 2020, we expect the bootcamp sector to grow to an estimated 35,446 students.

**Revenue**
Tuition revenue in 2019 was approximately $460,733,000, up from $439,581,000 in 2018.

**Bootcamp Costs and Financing**
The average in-person bootcamp cost $13,293 in the US in 2019. The most expensive full-time programs charged over $20,000 in tuition.

**Online Bootcamps**
In 2019, 6,995 students graduated from or attended an online coding bootcamp. The average tuition for these courses was $14,623.

**Income Share Agreements (ISAs)**
In 2019, 27 US bootcamps offered ISAs. The average terms were a 38-month term (length), $42,476 minimum income threshold, 13.8% income-share percentage, and a $32,754 payment cap.

**Bootcamp Student and Graduate Locations**
The top five metropolitan areas in 2019 in terms of how many bootcamp grads are employed in each city were New York City (46 bootcamps), San Francisco (33 bootcamps), Los Angeles (21 bootcamps), Seattle (18 bootcamps), and Washington, D.C. (16 bootcamps).

**Top Employers**
In terms of the number of graduates hired, the top companies who hired bootcamp graduates in 2019 were Google, Microsoft, Amazon, Facebook, and JPMorgan Chase & Co.

**Largest Bootcamps**
The ten largest bootcamps in terms of the total size of their student body in 2019 were General Assembly, Hack Reactor/Galvanize, Flatiron School, Ironhack, Bloc, Lambda School, App Academy, Springboard, Thinkful, and Fullstack Academy.
Significant growth in the technology industry has led to increased demand for workers with the specialized skills necessary to work with modern technology. A growing number of companies are hiring web developers, software engineers, and other technology workers who use technical skills to streamline their operations and leverage the power of technology within their organizations.

Coding bootcamps have become an important source for companies looking to hire technical talent. These programs, which are short, immersive, and focused on employment, graduated upwards of 33,900 students in 2019 alone. Bootcamps exist as a sector within the broader $1.1 trillion job training industry, falling under the classification of “Certifications, Apprenticeships, and Workforce Training” [1]. The sector, overall, is worth $47 billion, according to the most recent data available.

However, despite growth in the sector, it has been unclear how the sector is constituted and which factors matter when evaluating its growth. We have identified seven key areas of the market which we believe give insight into the current state of coding bootcamps. These factors include:

- **The market size of coding bootcamps**, which tells us about the number of students attending bootcamp and the profitability of the industry
- **The cost of attending coding bootcamp**, which helps us understand the target audience for bootcamps, and determine whether cost is a barrier for entry
- **The locations of bootcamp graduates**, which allows us to gain an insight into whether bootcamps are fulfilling a national demand, or whether they exist purely in traditional technology hubs
- **The skills taught by coding bootcamps**, which gives us an insight into whether bootcamps are teaching students topics that are in-demand
- **Top companies that hire bootcamp graduates**, which helps us understand whether bootcamp students succeed after graduation
- **The market share of online bootcamps**, which helps us learn about how the market is constituted
- **The growth of university bootcamps**, which has emerged as a larger part of the bootcamp sector
- **Merger and acquisition activity in the coding bootcamp space**, which tells us about investor sentiment around coding bootcamps

Bootcamps have positioned themselves as direct alternatives to college degrees, which were previously seen as the best method to acquire an education in programming. This is a bold value proposition and one that deserves in-depth analysis. If coding bootcamps are successfully bridging the technology talent gap, the model could have a major impact on the post-secondary training market.
Introduction

Growth in the technology sector over the last decade has resulted in heightened demand for qualified technology workers. However, while demand for talented computer scientists has increased, universities have failed to produce enough graduates to satisfy this demand. As a result, a large skills gap has emerged in the sector, with hundreds of thousands of development, user interface design, and data jobs left unfilled.

Over the last decade, coding bootcamps have emerged as an answer to the talent gap problem. A bootcamp is a short, employment-focused program designed to help people transition into careers in technology. They come in a wide variety of focus areas -- from coding and software development, to cybersecurity, to UI/UX design.

The skills participants learn in bootcamps are relevant to most in-demand jobs in technology, and many graduates have seen job offers at top tech companies and startups upon completion of the courses. Students also learn how to build the relationships social capital necessary to find and thrive in a job in technology through career workshops and instruction. Considering many of the best jobs are offline or come through referrals, learning about how to build relationships is crucial for people who are breaking into a career in tech.

Most bootcamps have proven themselves a viable way to equip non-tech workers with the technical skills necessary to fill the increasing tech talent needs of companies. Indeed, companies of all sizes and backgrounds, from financial services firms to big tech companies, are actively hiring coding bootcamp graduates, according to the data we have gathered for this study. In addition, bootcamps are starting to garner more respect based on the caliber of companies sourcing employees from these institutions.
Methodology

In this market study, we provide an analysis of the overall state of the bootcamp sector in 2019 and make predictions about the state of the market in 2020.

We gathered data on 380 bootcamps using various sources, including LinkedIn, Glassdoor, and an internal list of bootcamps maintained by Career Karma.

We define a bootcamp as:

**BOOTCAMP**

*An immersive, employment-focused educational program of typically 3-12 months’ duration designed to help adults develop industry-oriented skills relevant to pursuing careers in tech.*

In order to qualify for inclusion in the Career Karma State of the Bootcamp Market 2020 Study, bootcamps had to meet the following criteria:

1. **Offer full-time instruction totaling at least 30 hours per week**
2. **Offer instruction in at least one technical discipline**
3. **Have a campus in the United States or enroll students based in the US**
4. **Have complete profiles on LinkedIn**

For part (1) above, “full-time instruction” refers to programs that offer immersive learning. We consider immersive learning to mean an educational approach that centers mentorship, personalized one-on-one counsel, and live instruction as a core part of the offering. Thus, schools like Thinkful are considered a bootcamp under our definition, but companies such as Pluralsight, which offer online non-immersive courses, were excluded from the market study.

For part (2) above, “technical disciplines” included: software engineering, full-stack web development, mobile app development, front-end web development, data science, user experience (UX) design, cybersecurity, and digital marketing. Our analysis included in-person, online, and university coding bootcamps.
We used publicly-available information to determine whether to include data on a particular bootcamp in our market study. Our data is accurate as of December 21, 2019.

This left us with 105 bootcamps to include in our analysis (see Appendix A for a complete list), which led us to collect data on over 133,392 graduates. The data presented in this study is accurate as of December 21, 2019. The data used for the “market size and growth,” “bootcamp costs and financing” and “online bootcamps” is all based on 2019 data. The bootcamp location, skills and employer information is historical, starting from the first year in which data was available on LinkedIn.

Shortcomings in LinkedIn data—such as incomplete profiles, students without LinkedIn profiles and the lack of auditing on data -- may influence the accuracy of the claims made in the study. Despite limitations, we see great value in using data from LinkedIn.

This market study uses LinkedIn data for a number of reasons. Collecting information from LinkedIn allows us to use self-reported data from bootcamp graduates to assess graduate success. LinkedIn has a strong reputation as a database featuring information on employment and a wide array of school pages with alumni information. With that said, LinkedIn is not specifically known for its bootcamp data.

Surveys published by leaders in the bootcamp space are based on information reported directly by schools. While valuable, this methodology has its own limitations. Such studies are often based on information reported directly from bootcamps. This potentially presents a problem because, without verification from an external source—crowdsourced data or an auditing firm—the data submitted may be more likely to favor the bootcamp.
Of course, there are limitations to our method of research. Not all students will necessarily have a presence on LinkedIn. Self-reported LinkedIn data (e.g. employment, association with a bootcamp) is not verified by any third-party, which may affect the accuracy of the numbers presented in the market study. Bootcamp students and graduates may choose not to include bootcamp information on their LinkedIn profile. LinkedIn members may choose to list a bootcamp education on their profile when they did not attend a bootcamp or did not graduate, and data is subject to change over time.

In order to ensure the accuracy of our market study, we conducted qualitative analyses of individual school websites. First, we visited the websites of all 100 schools in our study to verify that they met our aforementioned inclusion criteria. We read over those websites homepages and scanned their offerings to ensure they were still active.

This allowed us to gather data on tuition for each school in our study and better understand the size of individual schools. In addition, we referred to various articles about bootcamps, especially for the “Acquisitions and Venture Capital” section of the study, to inform the direction of our paper and gather relevant context. Where such articles assisted in our report, we cited them in the references section of the study.
History of Bootcamps

Bootcamps have emerged in recent years as a popular alternative to a university degree for people interested in pursuing a career in technology. Before delving into the current state of the market, let us first look at the history of bootcamps.

Foundation of the Bootcamp Model

In the 2000s, online courses gained popularity among people looking to learn new skills. This was part of a larger trend where people were starting to value self-served education — where students could learn on their own terms, based on their needs — more highly. Indeed, YouTube and other media sharing platforms started to become known for their education content.

However, this model has proven limited in its utility. Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), as these online courses are now called, struggle to retain customers. A 2019 article in Inside Higher Ed analyzing a research paper on MOOCs published by Justin Reich and José A. Ruipérez-Valiente found that only 7% of people who enrolled in an MOOC in 2016-2017 were still participating in an MOOC in 2017-2018 [2].

Bootcamps started to appear in the early 2010s as an alternative to university degrees and MOOCs. Instead of attending a four-year college course or participating in a short-term online course with no mentorship, people could opt to attend an in-person, short-term, tech skills-focused bootcamp. One of the most notable of these organizations is General Assembly, founded in 2011, which was a pioneer of the immersive bootcamp education model. Today, General Assembly is the largest coding bootcamp and has served over 42,000 students in its nine-year history [3].

Bootcamps offered a number of benefits to students. The cost and time commitment were usually less than those of a college degree, thus enabling people to develop new skills more quickly and cheaply. Bootcamps also prepared students for specific careers; for example, if you wanted to become a web developer, you could attend a bootcamp focused on that particular field. Schools such as App Academy and Bloc were among the first to enter into this market, offering comprehensive bootcamp-based courses in fields such as web development and software engineering.
Growth in the Early 2010s

During the early 2010s, few bootcamps existed. At the time, people saw college as the best way to acquire a good education in computing. However, in the mid- to late-2010s, coding bootcamps started to gain more traction, and the number of annual bootcamp graduates is quickly catching up to the number of university computer science graduates. Code.org estimates that there are over 500,000 open “computing jobs” in the US [4]; however, the US only produces about 65,000 computer science graduates each year [5]. Perhaps as a result, a number of companies such as Apple, Costco, IBM and Google have dropped their requirement for applicants to have college degrees [6].

Evidently, universities have struggled to keep up with the demand for qualified tech workers. Further, given the intense demand for workers, many companies became more skeptical of the need for a four-year college degree for entry-level positions in technology. In an interview in 2014 about coding bootcamps for WIRED magazine, Adam Seligman, vice president of developer relations for Salesforce, stated that “Every company is racing to build apps faster. They need developers and platforms that can move as fast as their business” [7].

The lack of developers was not the only problem employers encountered, however. Online courses had many limitations. These courses were limited in scope, lacked a mentorship element and mechanisms to ensure students were engaged with the course over the long-term, and were designed as a one-size-fits-all solution. Thus, the extent to which these courses could assist companies in adequately training their workforce was limited.

Bootcamps, on the other hand, would train many workers through immersive, intensive technology courses. This is important because immersive learning, where students learn in a highly interactive environment, enables students to practice their skills in an environment similar to that which they can expect when entering a job.

Bootcamps simulate professional development environments by offering time-focused assignments and using real-world techniques, thereby introducing students to the type of environment in which they will work before they even enter the labor force. This is unique to bootcamps because, unlike online courses, students had mentors who held them to account and ensured they submitted assignments on time. Immersive pedagogies also encourage students to develop mastery over a topic, as students can actively seek help from advisors, mentors, and instructors who are available to support students through the bootcamp program.

While it is unclear exactly how much bootcamps have facilitated greater diversity in the technology sector, bootcamps are an alternative to college for career changers.
Growth in the Mid- to Late-2010s

Perhaps as a consequence of their affordability, speed, and accessibility, enrollments in bootcamps grew significantly between 2012 and 2019. There are over 105 full-time bootcamp programs in the United States today, with hundreds more around the world.

Moreover, over the last few years, the bootcamp sector has continuously transformed itself to accommodate growing student demand and changes in the technical needs of employers. Evidence of this can be seen by the growing range of courses offered by bootcamps, as well as the fact bootcamps are continuing to teach the skills employers demand, as we discuss in the “graduate skills” section of this study.

In the mid 2010s, after bootcamps began gaining traction, online bootcamps started to emerge in popularity. Originally, bootcamps were synchronous — students had to learn when classes were taught — but that has since changed. Today, a growing number of bootcamps are offering asynchronous programs that can boost student learning. This may be, in large part, because asynchronous programs allow students to learn on their own terms — they don’t have to be in a classroom or study during certain times — and so they are free to go back to revisit past concepts that they may not have grasped in class.

Schools such as App Academy, General Assembly, and Flatiron School started to offer more online courses in addition to their in-person offerings. This would eliminate a major limitation for aspiring bootcamp students: location. Since then, online offerings have become standard, offering people living in places without a bootcamp presence access to the intensive technical education that bootcamps provide. Some schools, such as Springboard and Microverse, offer exclusively online courses. In addition, online courses can help prepare students for job opportunities that are remote, which are becoming more common.

The growth in the number of bootcamps also led to more diversity in educational offerings. For example, more bootcamps have begun to offer part-time, immersive programs. These programs claim to provide the same education as a full-time course but over a longer period of time.
For people who cannot afford to quit their job, this option gives them access to bootcamps. Bootcamps have also started to offer instruction in more subjects. Web development and software engineering were initially the main courses offered by bootcamps. Today, bootcamps offer programs and courses in cybersecurity, data science, digital marketing, UX design, and other subjects.

Over the last few years, it has become evident that coding bootcamps are aiming to create a new model of private training for modern technology workers outside of the traditional system. While some bootcamps, such as Make School and partners of Trilogy, work with universities, most bootcamps operate as independent institutions. This approach, where bootcamps disrupt education from the outside-in, is prudent given the fact that a large part of their core value proposition is based on fast iteration. Indeed, high-quality bootcamps purport to change their curricula as the technology industry evolves.

Transparency and Policy in Coding Bootcamps

The Council on Integrity in Results Reporting (CIRR) was founded as a coalition of coding bootcamps to independently verify the quality and outcomes of such schools [8]. Today, the body conducts annual audits of the outcomes for dozens of coding bootcamps, based on a set of standardized criteria. In addition, other coding bootcamps have made independent commitments to disclosing outcomes data [9] [10].

In recent years, the federal government has recognized the potential of bootcamps and has introduced policies to help foster growth in the bootcamp space. In 2015, the White House launched TechHire, a program designed to pair workers with training programs in technology [11].

Also in 2015, the US Department of Education started the Educational Quality through Innovative Partnerships (EQUIP) program, offering selected bootcamps the ability to become eligible for Title IV financing (a legal provision under which college students can access federal student loans and grants to finance their education). Some bootcamps also have courses that are eligible for G.I. Bill funding, thus increasing access for military veterans dependents of military personnel.
Challenges With the Bootcamp Model

Bootcamps have encountered a number of challenges in their short history. The EQUIP program, which offered select bootcamps the ability to become Title IV eligible, has not received much attention in recent years. The programs chosen to participate in EQUIP are still pending approval, and the Department of Education has not acted decisively to support bootcamps since.

There have also been widespread concerns about the efficacy of the bootcamp model. In a 2017 Inc article, columnist Geoffrey James wrote, “the idea of a bootcamp for coding is just as practical as the idea of a bootcamp for surgery. Some skills are too complex for short-term intensive training to be effective” [12].

This complaint has been echoed by many others since bootcamps started to proliferate. Another New York Times article reported, “… just how much [bootcamps can grow] is uncertain. “It’s pretty clear that they can do it at the scale they have,” said Thomas Eisenmann, a professor and lead author of the study. “What’s not clear is whether it can go from a hundred or a few hundred to thousands and thousands” [13].

Despite these challenges, bootcamps continue to work on establishing their authority in the education space, and students are listening. As we note in this study, 33,959 students graduated from a bootcamp in 2019 alone, which may be due, at least in part, to students’ increased confidence in the efficacy of the bootcamp model, the growth in the number of bootcamps, and the growing number of reputable companies who are starting to partner with bootcamps. Universities are also starting to launch their own coding-specific bootcamps, often by partnering with private companies such as Trilogy.
According to our analysis, 33,959 students graduated from an in-person bootcamp in the US in 2019. This represents a 4.38% growth over the previous year. Based on our calculations, bootcamps earned a total of $460,733,000 in gross revenue from these students as of December 21st, 2019. These figures exclude data from university bootcamps, which have their own business models.

We suspect that several factors contributed to growth in the bootcamp sector in 2019, which are: (i) increased flexibility for students who are underserved by the current system; (ii) better outcomes and; (iii) lower costs.

### Market Size and Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Gross Revenue, 2019</th>
<th>$460,733,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year-Over-Year Growth in Revenue, 2019</td>
<td>4.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Bootcamp Graduates</td>
<td>33,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year-Over-Year Growth in Students, 2019</td>
<td>4.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Increased Flexibility

One of the primary factors associated with the growth of bootcamps is their increased flexibility, which is especially beneficial for people who are underserved by the traditional system. Historically, career-changers and aspiring programmers needed to earn a university degree in computer science to qualify for good technology jobs. Today, however, bootcamps present themselves as an alternative.
The university model does not work for everyone. Learners who are parents and have family commitments, who cannot afford to quit their jobs, or who are otherwise unable to commit to a university program, could not attend a university program. People who live in so-called “education deserts,”—where there is no college or university nearby—are also unable to benefit from going to university without traveling long distances, which is not an option for everyone.

Those who have been left behind by the opportunity gap -- who have perhaps lost their jobs due to automation and have been relegated into a lower economic class -- are also less likely to be able to participate in a university program, due to the high upfront costs of enrolling in college.

Bootcamps offer people in these groups the ability to participate in a training program. The shorter duration of bootcamps -- months instead of years -- opens up educational opportunities for people who could not participate in a four-year college degree. In addition, the existence of online bootcamps and part-time and self-paced programs allows people for whom location and time is an issue to participate in a training program.

Better Outcomes

The best bootcamps get to know the specifics of particular jobs in tech and invest heavily in helping people find a job, unlike colleges which have multiple value propositions: research, offering a liberal education, and preparing students for a job. Indeed, many bootcamps have innovative career support programs and employer partnerships that help accelerate the transition from education to the labor market.

This focus on outcomes has evolved over time as bootcamps have learned that they cannot just teach technical skills, but that they have to get involved with placement in order to help their students transition into the labor market.

Dozens of bootcamps now openly disclose their outcomes statistics. For example, the Juno College of Technology reported to the Council on Integrity in Results Reporting (CIRR) that within 180 days of graduating, 88.3% of students in their H2 2018 Web Development course were employed in-field [14] Fullstack Academy’s H2 2018 outcomes report for their Chicago program reported that 73.3% of students were employed in-field within 180 days of graduating [15]. Both of these reports were audited by the CIRR, which monitors the outcomes of its members.

In addition, thousands of bootcamp graduates have gone on to work for companies such as Microsoft, Google, and Facebook, which indicates the fact that bootcamps are successfully placing students in large technology companies, which typically have rigorous hiring standards. See the “Top Employers for Bootcamp Graduates” section for more information. Perhaps, in part because schools are living up to this value proposition, bootcamps continue to grow.
Lower Costs

One appeal of bootcamps is the relatively low cost of education. We suspect that attending a coding bootcamp would prove, in many cases, more affordable than completing a four-year university computer science degree. For four-year degrees, the average annual cost of going to college—including tuition, room, and board—was $26,593 \[16\]. Yearly costs in the 2016–17 school year for “private nonprofit and for-profit institutions” as per the National Center for Education Statistics data cited above were even higher.

In comparison, the average tuition for attending an in-person bootcamp was $13,293 in 2019, and the average tuition for an online bootcamp was $14,623. Of course, this does not include the cost of room and board; nevertheless, considering the shorter duration of bootcamps, even if the cost of room and board were included, the average overall costs would lower for the average bootcamp than for the average four-year university degree.

Further, there is an opportunity cost to consider. Bootcamps typically last one year or less, whereas university degrees take four years to complete. While it’s difficult to calculate the exact opportunity cost, suffice to say that bootcamp grads are able to enter the labor market quicker than their college graduate counterparts, given the duration of their education. That said, bootcamp graduates may earn less than their college graduate counterparts over the long term, as college degrees have proven to be a source of long-term value \[17\].

In addition, bootcamps have also experimented with models such as deferred tuition and Income Share Agreements (ISAs). Deferred tuition does not need to be paid back unless a student finds a job, and ISAs are only paid back if a student is successful at finding a job that pays over a certain amount.
Education finance experts such as Richard Price, a researcher at the Christensen Institute, have compared this to “insurance,” offering a guarantee to students—students pay for college upfront, and tuition is due irrespective of an individual graduate’s success [18].

While universities such as Purdue University and the University of Utah are experimenting with similar models, these programs cater to a small number of students relative to the number of people who enroll in college each year. For context, 43,411 students enrolled in Purdue University in the fall of 2018 [19], and the Purdue “Back a Boiler” program, perhaps the most notable among universities, has only issued 1,000 ISAs since launching in 2016 [20].

Other factors likely contribute to the growth of bootcamps. Perhaps due to their smaller size—at least in comparison to many universities—they may find it easier to iterate more rapidly. While this remains to be fully seen, it appears as if bootcamps have found a sustainable business model in which student tuition can cover the costs of their programs without relying on the federal subsidies or aid that many universities rely on.

That said, the growth in revenue realized by coding bootcamps in 2019 was less than that of previous years. In 2018, for example, the coding bootcamp sector grew by 61.51%. See the below table “Coding Bootcamp Growth Rates, 2013–2019” for more information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Year-Over-Year Student and Graduate Growth (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>13.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>121.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>95.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>52.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>20.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>26.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exact reasons behind slower sector growth in 2019 are unclear. The main factor we believe is responsible is that the market for coding bootcamps in popular technical disciplines such as web development and software engineering is starting to enter the “maturity” stage, meaning growth is slowing. Coding bootcamps have a specific target market -- people looking to transition into a new career in tech quickly -- which does not resonate with everyone seeking training in technological skills, and so as bootcamps have grown, they have become closer to reaching their total addressable market.

We believe this is the case for two reasons. Firstly, more bootcamps are offering courses in new areas such as UX design and cybersecurity, which demonstrates that bootcamps are looking for new ways to expand outside of their current course offerings.

In addition, as we note in the “Venture Capital” section of this study, many of the smaller industry financing rounds have been in new bootcamp models, which leads us to believe investors are realizing that more innovation in the sector is necessary to sustain growth.
Bootcamp Growth

According to our analysis, the bootcamp sector will grow to serve 35,446 students in 2020, based on the growth the sector has realized in its history.

We also identified the largest bootcamps by the total size of their student and graduate body. See the table below for the top ten bootcamps by the total number of students and graduates each school has served since being founded, as of December 21, 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bootcamp</th>
<th>Graduates (as of 12/21/2019)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Assembly</td>
<td>44,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hack Reactor/Galvanize</td>
<td>6,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatiron School</td>
<td>5,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ironhack</td>
<td>4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloc</td>
<td>3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda School</td>
<td>3,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App Academy</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springboard</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinkful</td>
<td>2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullstack Academy</td>
<td>2,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is a dramatic difference between the top provider, General Assembly, and all the other major coding bootcamps. We believe there are two main reasons for this division. Firstly, General Assembly started their education business on February 1, 2011, which was at the start of the bootcamp sector [21].

Thus, they have been able to spend years more on student acquisition than other bootcamps. Secondly, General Assembly has used their additional time in the market to offer programs such as bootcamp-based enterprise training, which has allowed them to accrue a larger student body.

Historical Gross Revenue, 2012–2019

The following chart illustrates gross tuition revenue for bootcamps in the United States between the years 2012 and 2019, using information collected from LinkedIn and Career Karma.

Bootcamp Tuition Revenue

Revenue reached $460.7 million in 2019 and is expected to reach $480.9 million in 2020.
Historical Alumni Statistics, 2012–2019

The following chart shows the number of students graduating from bootcamps in the United States each year between the years 2012 and 2019. This data is not cumulative, and represents the number of graduating students in each year.

Coding Bootcamp Students and Graduates, 2012–2019

The coding bootcamp sector grew 4.38% in 2019 to 33,959 students and graduates.
Bootcamp Costs and Financing

In 2019, the average tuition for a bootcamp was $13,249. Our study focuses on full-time courses, which typically last between three months and one year. This average tuition statistic excludes bootcamps who only offer income-share models and have no upfront payment alternative, and non-profit bootcamps who charge no tuition.

There has been a push in the bootcamp sector in recent years to provide an affordable experience to students. We suspect that cost is one of the biggest considerations for people who are thinking about pursuing education in computer science. Of note, this could be a promising idea for future research: surveying students to ascertain their considerations when choosing a school more directly.

Online bootcamps were—to our surprise—on average, more expensive than in-person bootcamps included in our analysis in 2019. The average tuition for online bootcamps was $14,623, over $1,000 higher than the $13,249 average tuition for in-person bootcamps. This can perhaps be attributed to the longer length of many online programs. Holberton School’s full-time program, for example, lasts for two years overall; Kenzie Academy’s full-time software and UX engineering courses last one year.

The price of bootcamps does vary between schools. 8.70% of bootcamps included in our analysis charged tuition upward of $20,000. 42.93% percent of bootcamps charged tuition between $15,000 and $20,000. 20.65% percent of programs cost between $10,000 and $14,999. See the graphic below for more information.

We found that bootcamps were offering a number of financing options to students. While analyzing bootcamp websites, we discovered that student loans and upfront or installment payment options were most common. We also noticed an increase in bootcamps offering Income Share Agreements. Some bootcamps, such as General Assembly and Flatiron School, offered scholarships to their students; others offered G.I. Bill financing.
This demonstrates a growing trend in the bootcamp sector toward offering more financing options to students. When the sector was getting started, upfront payment options were the most common. Given the nascency of the sector, there were few lenders who knew about the specifics of bootcamps.

Today, there are dozens of providers such as Skills Fund which offer loans to bootcamp students. Companies like Leif and edly, on the other hand, provide support to bootcamps which use Income Share Agreements. We believe the growing diversity among financing options is down to the fact that no one financing option is good for every student. ISAs, while useful for some students, do not make sense in a case where a student already has most of the money they need to finance their education or who know they could manage the payments of a loan well.

Tuition Ranges for Bootcamps, 2019

Tuition Distribution at Coding Bootcamps

Over one-third of bootcamps have set their tuition between $10,000 and $15,000, and only a select few charge over $20,000.
In 2019, the bootcamp sector saw an increase in the number of bootcamps offering Income Share Agreements (ISAs) as a method of payment. According to our analysis, 27 US-based bootcamps offer ISAs to their students. (Note: our analysis for this section on ISAs includes Viking Code School and Dev Code Camp, two bootcamps that were not considered for the full study. In other words, 25 out of the 105 schools that we considered a bootcamp for this paper offer ISAs to their students, but 27 US-based coding bootcamps offer ISAs to their students.)

Before 2019, only a select few bootcamps offered ISAs or deferred tuition as methods of payment. For example, App Academy launched their deferred tuition program in 2012.

We believe a couple of factors contributed to this growth. First, students only pay when they command salaries higher than a predetermined amount. This acts as a form of insurance for students: if they derive no value from their education, they will pay back less, or perhaps nothing. This, in turn, has the potential to reassure students that the school will work to help them succeed so they can collect tuition later.
Thus, ISAs give students more confidence in their decision to learn to code at a bootcamp. This is especially important because attending a bootcamp may be riskier than attending college due to the former’s recent arrival to the computer science education space. Additionally, most bootcamps are not accredited, unlike colleges.

For bootcamps, ISAs act as a sales and marketing tool: if a prospective student knows they will only pay if they succeed, they may be more likely to enroll in a coding bootcamp. This has the potential to drive down Customer Acquisition Costs for bootcamps -- the more confident students feel about a bootcamp course, the more likely they are to enroll -- thereby allowing them to grow without spending as much on customer acquisition.

Another contributing factor to the growth of ISAs is the development of new technological and financial infrastructure to facilitate their administration. Companies such as Vemo Education and Leif have created solutions to help universities and code schools start and maintain their ISA programs. This has helped existing programs streamline their operations and has facilitated bootcamps without ISA programs to create their own tailored offerings.

Other companies (e.g., edly) have made it easier for bootcamps to raise the capital they need to offer an ISA program. Instead of hiring internal investor relations staff and/or raising venture money, a bootcamp can instead enlist a third party to help them raise the capital they need to establish and administer their ISA program. Such companies have also helped connect professional institutional investors with bootcamps, which has played a major role in legitimizing the efficacy of this model of education financing.

With that said, it has become clear that ISAs are not for every student. The majority of coding bootcamps continue to offer upfront or installment payment plans, in addition to ISAs. This suggests that ISAs are not being seen as a replacement for other models of financing, rather an additional option for students. This is important because, for students who already have savings, or who are averse to the prospect of paying back multiples more than the cost of tuition, ISAs are not a good option.
Given the significant growth of ISAs in 2019, we expect them to continue to gain traction and constitute an even larger share of bootcamp financing in 2020.

However, in order for this growth to be realized, all bootcamps offering ISAs will need to implement best practices in terms of structuring and administering their programs. This begets a note stating that the advantages above can only be realized by properly structured programs -- incentive alignment and access only work when a bootcamp operates in good faith and in the best interests of their students.

Most bootcamp ISA programs offer short terms (i.e., the duration students repay a portion of their income as tuition, represented as a number of months). Most ISA terms range from one to four years. In addition, bootcamp ISAs often have higher income-share percentages, ranging from 10 percent to 20 percent of a student’s post-graduation income, due to the short terms they offer. Minimum income thresholds are also higher among bootcamp ISAs given the projected salaries for careers in technology, which can enter easily exceed $50,000; most programs offer thresholds of $40,000 or above.
We found that the average minimum income threshold for bootcamp ISAs was $42,476 in 2019. In the same year, the average term was 38 months and the average income-share percentage was 13.9 percent. In addition, the average repayment cap (the maximum amount students can pay before an ISA was forgiven) was $32,754.

In addition, the school with the highest payment cap was Make School, at $90,000; the lowest payment cap was joint between Microverse and Burlington Code Academy at $15,000. The school with the longest term was BrainStation at 96 months; the school with the shortest term was Viking Code School at 12 months. Most terms, however, were between 24 and 48 months.

See Appendix B, “Income Share Agreement Terms,” for the raw data used for this section.

As ISAs gain more traction—and perhaps regulatory scrutiny—having transparent terms will become a crucial part of the sector. As such, we hope to see all schools display tuition information prominently on their sites (in cases where that is not already happening).
Online Bootcamps

Online bootcamps, which are largely based on the bootcamp model of short-term, immersive, intense courses, continued to grow in popularity in 2019. (For context, all of these programs were founded before 2019.) For this study, 13 online bootcamps were included in our analysis. We found that 6,995 students graduated from an online bootcamp in 2019. Thus, online student graduations constitute 25.94 percent of overall bootcamp graduations.

See “Historical Online Bootcamp Alumni, 2017–2020” for a historical breakdown of enrollments at online bootcamps.

Historical Online Bootcamp Alumni, 2017–2020

In 2019, almost 7,000 people attended or graduated from a coding bootcamp, a 31.14% increase since 2018.

2019 Graduates: 6,995
Online Bootcamps: 13
Average Tuition: $14,623
Online bootcamps fill a strong need. As of 2010—the year in which the last census was calculated—19.3 percent of the population of the United States lived in rural areas [22]. Bootcamps are primarily based in large population centers. Therefore, online bootcamps fill a strong need as people who do not live in a city with a bootcamp nearby are unable to attend a bootcamp.

While university bootcamps are helping to expand access to in-person bootcamps, their impact is limited. Online bootcamps give people in this situation—people who do not live near a bootcamp—the ability to participate in a structured computer science education program. With that said, many of the opportunities -- unless the job into which a graduate enters supports remote working -- coding bootcamps unlock may only be available to those willing to move to a city, so the extent to which bootcamps enable economic opportunity in rural areas is limited but still present.

While online programs have been around since toward the start of the bootcamp sector, they became more popular in 2019. Many bootcamps offer online courses to their students in addition to their in-person offerings.

One of the more notable trends in online bootcamps is the rise of fully-online schools. Bloc, Microverse, Thinkful, Springboard, and Lambda School are three examples of schools with no physical campus; their offerings are entirely online. In simple terms, this model reduces costs on the part of the bootcamp -- there are no campuses to operate, and fewer overhead costs.

Some schools have also found great success in operating online bootcamps. For example, Lambda School was established in 2017 and served only a few dozen students in their first year. Today, Lambda School has enrolled over 3,000 students into their online offerings. Microverse, another coding bootcamp, was admitted into the Y Combinator bootcamp as well, which offers an online-only bootcamp.
The growth of online technologies such as Zoom and Slack has made it easier for bootcamps to offer online courses and for fully-online bootcamps to exist. These technologies allow for synchronous and asynchronous communication. Other tools such as Github are used to collaborate on code from multiple locations. We suspect that bootcamps offering online components will continue to utilize these and other “remote tools” that facilitate long-distance collaboration.

Providing online programs may be a competitive advantage for bootcamps. Offering access to a greater number of students, eliminating many of the physical requirements of running a school—such as operating a campus and using existing curricula from an in-person program are all attractive benefits from a financial perspective.

Nevertheless, drawbacks for online bootcamps likely exist. For example, students who are less motivated to participate in a course may find it difficult to stay on track throughout the program because there is no in-person instructor who can facilitate their learning journey. However, an in-depth discussion of the relative merits of online versus in-person bootcamps is beyond the scope of this study.
As of December 28, 2019, there were 105 schools that we are considering bootcamps serving the US market, 13 of which were online schools.

According to LinkedIn data, bootcamp graduates are based in cities all across the United States. Unsurprisingly, there is a large concentration of bootcamp graduates in cities historically known as technology hubs, such as San Francisco and New York City. Indeed, New York City has the largest number of resident bootcamp alumni in the country. This is likely due to the fact that these cities all have strong labor markets for positions in technology where many companies are hiring.

In addition, the number of bootcamps in these top cities is high: New York has 46 bootcamps; San Francisco has 33 bootcamps; Los Angeles has 21 bootcamps. As seen in the table below, there is a positive correlation between the number of bootcamps in a location and the number of graduates in that city. That said, some cities such as Austin and Chicago have more bootcamps than other areas and fewer graduates. We believe this could be due to how more bootcamps are opening in cities but are yet to create significant alumni bodies in those areas.
In the table “Bootcamp Graduates in Top Cities, 2019,” we list the cities with the largest number of bootcamp graduates. The locations listed in this table are based on the locations given on LinkedIn’s school pages for graduates of a particular school. This data is also cumulative, and so it represents all bootcamp graduates’ locations in 2019, rather than all locations of bootcamp students who graduated in 2019.

We suspect this is the case for a number of reasons. The majority of bootcamps we studied for this study are in-person schools, which are often based in larger cities with attractive labor markets. Perhaps as a result, large concentrations of graduates can be found in these cities.

Despite the large concentrations of bootcamp graduates in the cities listed below, it is worth noting that, comparatively speaking, the number of bootcamp graduates in each city constitutes a small portion of technology workers. 13,400 coding bootcamp graduates are based in San Francisco, for example, yet the labor market for the city’s technology workers is significantly larger. Thus, while bootcamps are indeed major talent funnels in a number of cities, they still comprise a relatively small number of placements.

In addition, traditional tech hubs often have stronger job prospects, which may make those places more attractive to bootcamp graduates. San Francisco, for example, has perhaps the best labor market for coders and also has the most bootcamp graduates. Tech cities may also have more bootcamp graduates at least in part because local tech companies may be more likely to support local training programs to refine their talent pools.

With that said, there are a large number of coding bootcamps that operate outside of these cities. This is important to mention as one of the great promises of the bootcamp model is to enable economic opportunity for people who are underserved by the traditional system, and one of the greatest barriers of the traditional system is the concentration of high-quality educational institutions in big cities. See the table left for a list of a random selection of 10 non-tech hub cities with a bootcamp graduate presence.

### Bootcamp Graduates in Top Cities, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Bootcamp Graduates</th>
<th>Bootcamps in Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greater New York City Area</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Bay Area</td>
<td>13,400</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Los Angeles Area</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Seattle Area</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater D.C. Metro Area</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Boston Area</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Chicago Area</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Denver Area</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Atlanta Area</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Austin Area</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit, MI</td>
<td>351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh-Durham, NC</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio, TX</td>
<td>465</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore, ML</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia, PA</td>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus, OH</td>
<td>407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis, IN</td>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City, MO</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugene, OR</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix, AZ</td>
<td>585</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We gathered data from LinkedIn to determine the main skills reported by bootcamp graduates since each school was founded. Of note, most bootcamps in our study were founded after 2010, which should be the earliest year for which data is available in any particular case. The data, accurate as of December 21, 2019, represents the skills reported by all bootcamp graduates and students since data started to become available on LinkedIn.

Software engineering is currently a popular field among bootcamp graduates and we suspect this is for the same reasons we attributed to the popularity of web development courses/programs. See the chart “Historical Bootcamp Graduate Skills, Updated December 2019” for a more detailed breakdown of the programming language data. This data is based on the LinkedIn profiles of 133,392 bootcamp graduates.
Web development courses comprise a significant portion of bootcamp enrollments. All of the five top technical skills reported by coding bootcamp grads, as well as the top four programming languages used by coding bootcamp graduates, are all related to web development. We suspect that the long history of web development bootcamp courses in relation to other subjects, the favorable labor market for web developers, and the large repository of resources available to assist aspiring web developers are all contributing factors to the prominence of web development courses.

Program Offerings

Over the last few years, there has been a growing diversity in bootcamp program offerings. In the past, most bootcamp courses focused on software engineering and web development, which were—and still are—fields in which there is a high demand for qualified workers. Today, we assume that fields such as data science and UX design are becoming more popular. This assumption is based on these fields entering the top five courses being offered by bootcamps and skills like Python and SQL -- common in data science -- being in the top five programming languages known by coding bootcamp graduates.

In addition, fields such as technology sales are on the rise, evidenced by the growth of bootcamps such as SV Academy and Flockjay. However, this is outside the scope of this market study and merits further research. There is also a broader question that needs to be answered: will bootcamps be able to evolve as technology undergoes significant changes, such as the wide-scale adoption of cloud technology and machine learning? This would be best tackled in a separate study.
Soft Skills

Of course, bootcamps teach more than technical skills. While a significant portion of the average bootcamp syllabus is focused on technical training, bootcamp graduates also spend significant time learning a range of “soft” skills. For example, bootcamp students often work on collaborative projects to develop and hone team-working skills.

Students also acquire a wide range of relationship building and social capital skills such as how to reach out to employers and approach recruiters, which are useful when students start their job search. Indeed, a 2013 Wall Street Journal article reported that 80% of jobs are not listed online, which means that, in order to be successful in finding the right job, people need to have good relationship building skills.

A large part of the bootcamp value proposition is to prepare students for jobs, which means students need to be equipped with certain interpersonal skills to thrive after graduation. Based on the same LinkedIn data that we used above, we have found the following to be the most commonly reported soft skills by coding bootcamp graduates, ranked in order of frequency.

Top 6 Soft Skills

- Leadership
- Management
- Public Speaking
- Research
- Strategy
- Teamwork
One of the main concerns prospective students have about bootcamps is that of employment. Will employers respect graduates’ experience in a bootcamp? College degrees are still perceived to be the best way to enter into a career in technology. However, as bootcamps have gained traction, graduates have continued to find jobs in technology.

Computer science jobs are growing at twice the rate of the national average, according to Code.org [23], and universities have not been able to keep up with demand. This leaves employers with a problem: there are more jobs to be filled, but the place to which they have traditionally turned for qualified workers is not yielding enough graduates. To resolve this problem, companies of all sizes are turning to reputable bootcamps as a potential source of talent for their organizations.
One study of 1000 HR managers and technical recruiters at US companies by Indeed found that 72% of employers “think bootcamp graduates are just as prepared and likely to be high performers as candidates with computer science degrees,” which demonstrates the positive perception employers have of coding bootcamp graduates [24]. While this does not necessarily mean those companies have hired bootcamp graduates, it does show that employers think bootcamp graduates are adequately prepared for jobs in tech.

In addition, according to Hired.com’s 2019 “The State of Software Engineers” report, 76% of software engineers surveyed believed their bootcamp education helped them “prepare to get an engineering job” [25]. While some employers are still hesitant to hire a bootcamp graduate, 57% of software engineers surveyed said they would “hire a bootcamp grad for an open role” if they were in a position to do so.

There are a few reasons why employer sentiments toward hiring bootcamp graduates are favorable. Firstly, as we note below, a number of large technology companies such as Microsoft have hired bootcamp graduates, which typically have rigorous application standards. Secondly, as more bootcamp graduates find jobs in technology, hiring managers will be able to develop a greater sense of what skills bootcamp graduates hold.

Another potential reason is that bootcamps such as General Assembly are starting to work with companies like Microsoft to offer corporate training, which allows the bootcamp to develop closer relationships with hiring managers of employers. However, other factors such as hiring managers lowering their standards due to the tightness of the labor market may also contribute to this trend.

At right, we list the top 10 employers of bootcamp graduates to demonstrate the types of companies that have hired bootcamp graduates in the past. This data was gathered from LinkedIn’s school pages. Further, this data excludes bootcamps. This is because many current and former students list their bootcamp education as “work experience,” on LinkedIn, but does not necessarily mean the graduate has been employed by the bootcamp.

It is worth noting that, in relation to the sizes of these companies’ workforces, coding bootcamp graduates constitute a small portion of their overall workforces. For example, Google has a workforce of over 184,000 employees [26]; IBM employs over 502,000 workers [27]; American Express controls a workforce of almost 65,000 employees [28]. Thus, while these numbers demonstrate that some coding bootcamp graduates go to work in large tech companies, bootcamps are not a primary source of talent for these companies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Bootcamp Grads Employed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP Morgan Chase</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Express</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uber</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital One</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WeWork</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bootcamp Acquisitions and Venture Capital Financings

Acquisitions

Over the last few years there have been a number of high-profile acquisitions in the bootcamp space. There are a couple of reasons why we believe this has occurred.

One of the primary reasons is that the bootcamp sector is diverse and relies upon a number of business types to operate successfully. We believe this creates the potential for companies to consolidate as they grow, to command a greater share of the bootcamp sector.

Some companies in the bootcamp space are the bootcamps themselves, such as Kenzie Academy. Other companies in the space operate as financiers, such as Skills Fund and Leif. Some bootcamps and financiers work with universities through partnerships. Some companies are online learning platforms. When companies have established their presence in one area, some have sought to expand into other areas to increase their market share.

In addition, acquiring a bootcamp allows traditional and publicly-traded education companies that may not directly offer instruction to students the ability to experiment with their own course offerings through a subsidiary bootcamp. In many cases, this may be more appropriate than changing a company’s core business—which would be time consuming—to experiment with bootcamps.

For example, in September 2019, Chegg, a publicly-traded student services company, announced that they would be acquiring Thinkful, an online bootcamp [29]. While Chegg itself is not a bootcamp, they operate within the education space, and their acquisition of Thinkful offers a number of opportunities. Other such examples exist, as listed in our table, “Top Three Bootcamp Acquisitions, 2019.”

Consolidation has also become common in the bootcamp space. We suspect that at least some of this is due to some bootcamps focusing on short-term revenue growth at the cost of building a sustainable business model that would succeed over the long-term. In the mid-2010s, a number of bootcamps opened that have since ceased operations. Two (of many) examples are Iron Yard (2012–2017) and DevBootcamp (2012–2017). We suspect that these schools closed because they did not end up meeting the evolving needs of students and instead tried to capitalize on the fast growth of private-market bootcamps to earn an easy profit with no long-term strategy in place.
In an interview for Forbes in 2017, Jake Schwartz, CEO of General Assembly, discussed the belief that many bootcamps were seen as primarily short-term money-making opportunities, rather than longer-term strategic organizations:

“The barrier to entry for basic coding bootcamps wasn’t very high—and as it turns out, education, at any level, is not the easy-money scheme many thought it was. A lot of these companies were capitalizing on a short-term market opportunity without a broader vision.” [30]

Top Three Bootcamp Acquisitions, 2019

1. 2U’s Acquisition of Trilogy Education Services
   - $750 million

2. Chegg’s Acquisition of Thinkful
   - $80 million+
   - 2.5 million shares

3. Bridgeport’s Acquisition of Fullstack Academy
   - $17.5 million
   - 2.5 million shares

In April 2019, 2U, an education technology company that helps universities offer online degree programs, acquired Trilogy Education Services, a company that partners with universities starting their own coding bootcamp courses [31]. 2U acquired Trilogy, founded in 2015, for $750 million—$350 million paid in shares, with the rest paid in cash.

According to 2U, they acquired Trilogy to expand their enterprise channels, double their university partner client portfolio, create additional marketing leverage, and generally help the company expand their educational offerings [32].

In September 2019, Chegg announced plans to acquire Thinkful, a Brooklyn-based online bootcamp. Reports indicated the acquisition would be worth $80 million, with possible additional payments of up to $20 million in cash or stock based on performance [33].

Chegg’s acquisition of Thinkful allows Chegg to expand their direct-to-student learning platform and add more technology career offerings to their portfolio. On Thinkful’s side, the acquisition gives the school more resources to leverage when developing courses and extended reach for those courses.

In March 2019, Bridgepoint Education, which owns Ashford University and offers online program management products, acquired the bootcamp Fullstack Academy. The acquisition was reportedly set at $17.5 million in cash and 2.5 million shares of common stock upon the closing of the deal [34]. Another 2.25 million shares are to be issued if Fullstack met certain milestones after the acquisition.

This acquisition gave Fullstack Academy the ability to work with more universities and governments and gave Bridgepoint a place where they could experiment with new ideas around bootcamps [35].

In January 2020, Galvanize, the coding bootcamp and co-working space company, announced that K-12, Inc., a publicly-traded education company, has agreed to acquire them. Galvanize is being acquired for $165 million in cash, according to a report by The Information, which reviewed an email sent to Galvanize shareholders [36]. This acquisition further shows the extent to which consolidation is present in the coding bootcamp sector, and suggests continued interest by large education companies in the space.
Industry Consolidation

According to our analysis, bootcamp acquisitions have been steady over the last few years, and there has been at least one acquisition in the space every year since 2014. In 2014, there were three bootcamp acquisitions; in 2015 there another three; in 2016 there were five; in 2017 there were two; in 2018 there were four; in 2019 there were three. The majority of acquirers were already in the education space prior to acquiring a bootcamp company. This suggests that education companies see bootcamps as a strategic opportunity for growth. See Appendix C for a list of historical acquisitions.

Some acquirers were other bootcamps:

Thinkful acquired Viking Code School (December 2017), The Odin Project (December 2017), and Bloc (April 2018).

Fullstack Academy acquired Starter League (March 2016).

Galvanize acquired Hack Reactor (July 2018) and Zipfian Academy (November 2014).

General Assembly acquired Bitmaker Labs (August 2016).

Bootcamps may wish to acquire other bootcamps for a number of reasons, including to grow their student body and to reach a wider audience by capitalizing on the brand of the bootcamp they acquire. Such acquisitions may also help a bootcamp increase its profitability and learn about different educational techniques and pedagogies.

In addition, we may see more acquisitions in the future as Customer Acquisition Costs (CAC) -- the cost of obtaining a customer -- in the sector increase. We expect that CACs will increase in the future because most coding bootcamps are based upon a similar value proposition, and that value proposition will only resonate with a certain audience: people looking to retrain and transition into a job in technology.

This should affect acquisitions in the space, as bootcamps that realize higher CACs may resort to buying other companies to lower those costs and gain more control over other areas of the bootcamp sector (i.e., financial servicing and job placement).

It is worth noting that most of the acquisitions that have taken place in the bootcamp sector have been fairly small, under $100 million. Thus, while acquisitions are taking place, it is unclear whether the sector is mature enough to warrant larger acquisitions.
A number of fast-growing bootcamps have relied on venture capital financing to help expand their businesses. For bootcamps, raising venture capital helps them finance resources relevant to their expansion and offer competitive tuition rates. In return, investors have the opportunity to own a stake in a potentially profitable enterprise.

Investors have become increasingly interested in bootcamps over the last few years, given the growth in student numbers and revenues in the bootcamp sector. In 2019, there were many notable venture capital financing rounds in the bootcamp space.

For example, in January 2019, Lambda School raised a $30 million Series B round from investors such as Bedrock Capital, Google Ventures, and Y Combinator. Lambda School’s Series B round valued the company at $150 million, post-money.

We believe that Lambda School raising a Series B round demonstrates how serious investors are about coding bootcamps and signals high investor interest in the business model underlying well-structured bootcamps.

Other notable venture capital rounds in 2019 included Make School’s $15 million Series B, Kenzie Academy’s $7.7 million Series A, Springboard’s $11 million post-Series A, and Bitwise Industries’ $27 million Series A. In addition, Kenzie Academy raised a $100 million debt financing round to use towards their Income Share Agreement program. See “Top 10 Bootcamp Venture Capital Rounds, 2019” below for more.

That said, it is unclear whether venture capital firms will have sustained interest in new coding bootcamps in the future. Indeed, almost all of the most notable rounds from 2019 featured already-established coding bootcamps, and investments in newer companies were typically focused on new educational models.
Flockjay, for example, is offering a technology sales platform; Microverse is aiming to create a fully-online school to enable people from around the world to access highly-compensated jobs in tech. While the data is inconclusive, such investments in smaller, non-coding bootcamp training providers may be more attractive to investors, as these companies are looking to expand the bootcamp model and enter new markets in the process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bootcamp Name</th>
<th>Capital Raised</th>
<th>Round Type</th>
<th>Investors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lambda School [38]</td>
<td>$30 million</td>
<td>Series B</td>
<td>Y Combinator, Vy Capital, Pioneer Fund, Geoff Lewis, GV, GGV Capital, Bedrock Capital, Ashton Kutcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybrary [39]</td>
<td>$15 million</td>
<td>Series B</td>
<td>Gula Tech Adventures, BuildGroup, Arthur Ventures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make School [40]</td>
<td>$15 million</td>
<td>Series B</td>
<td>Venrock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenzie Academy [42]</td>
<td>$7.7 million</td>
<td>Series A</td>
<td>One Way Ventures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenzie Academy [43]</td>
<td>$100 million</td>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>Community Investment Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ironhack [44]</td>
<td>$4 million</td>
<td>Series A</td>
<td>Jose Manuel Entrecanales Venture Capital, Brighteye Ventures, All Iron Ventures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flockjay [45]</td>
<td>$2.98 million</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>Y Combinator, Will Smith, Tom Willerer, Serena Williams, SV Angel, Paul Buchheit, Lightspeed, Liat Bycel, John Thompson, Index Ventures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV Academy [46]</td>
<td>$9.5 million</td>
<td>Series A</td>
<td>Uprising, Strada Education Network, Rethink Education, Owl Ventures, Kapor Capital, Bloomberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitwise Industries [47]</td>
<td>$27 million</td>
<td>Series A</td>
<td>Reach Capital, Quality Jobs Fund, Plum Alley, New Voices Fund, Motley Fool Ventures, Kapor Capital, Aera VC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notably, Y Combinator, a startup accelerator, invested in a number of early-stage bootcamps this year. In 2019, Y Combinator announced investments in the Juno College of Technology, Flockjay and Microverse [48]. Y Combinator has a long history of investing in bootcamps; they invested in Fullstack Academy in 2012, Make School in 2012, and Lambda School in 2017 [49].
University Coding Bootcamps

Coding bootcamps were initially popularized in the private sector. However, in recent years, the model started to gain traction among universities. While the structure of these “university bootcamps” varies—some universities have their own internal bootcamps; others partner with existing bootcamps—they all have the same value proposition: to help train people in the practical skills they need to pursue a career in technology.

Companies like Trilogy Education Services have found a strong business model in helping universities offer bootcamp courses as a supplement to their school’s existing degree offerings. To date, Trilogy has worked with 46 university partners to offer coding bootcamps. So far, these programs have served a total of over 20,000 graduates. 2U, an education technology company that works with universities to offer online degree programs, acquired Trilogy in early 2019.

University Coding Bootcamps in the US (2019)

- 46 University partners
- 20,000+ Graduates
- 2,250+ companies have hired these graduates
- 30% of graduates are female
- 46% of the Fortune 100 companies have hired these graduates
- Average age is 32
For the purposes of this study, we researched universities that offer coding bootcamps and compiled a list of 46 university bootcamps. The bootcamps we studied are those that are currently active and serving students and have an alumni body. Then, we used the same methodology as we did to evaluate the bootcamp LinkedIn profiles to determine which bootcamps had enough data for us to analyze. This resulted in our sample being reduced to 10 university bootcamps, who each had complete LinkedIn school pages.

We suspect that there are a number of factors that may have contributed to the growth of university coding bootcamps. One of these is that university bootcamps may provide a space for universities to experiment with different educational model(s) that may better serve certain students without changing their traditional computer science offerings.

Universities, which are often governed under strict accreditation rules that influence the structure of their offerings [52], may use bootcamps as a tool to experiment with new pedagogies for teaching computer science. Existing computer science degree programs, on the other hand, are authorized and approved by accrediting bodies, which may make it more difficult for experimentation to occur.

In addition, university bootcamps may be growing in response to pressures associated with lower enrollments in their traditional programs. 2019 was the eighth year in which enrollments declined, which will stress the resources of some colleges [53]. Offering coding bootcamps gives universities the ability to show their interest in alternative education models and attract new students when enrollments in traditional programs decline.

University bootcamps confer a number of advantages to students. For example, universities may—although this is not always the case—review their bootcamp’s curriculum. This could, in turn, provide a sort of quality control for the education that students receive at bootcamp. That said, such quality controls are not required and so may not exist in every university bootcamp program.

The growth of university bootcamps also has a positive impact on the general bootcamp sector. At present, in order to attend an in-person bootcamp, most people would have to move, as most bootcamps are concentrated in big cities. However, university bootcamps may allow more students who already have a university in their local area—or at least nearby—to benefit from a bootcamp-style education.
Corporate Training

Corporate training offers coding schools another opportunity for expansion. While serving individual students has proven to be a strong business model in many cases, corporate training programs would allow bootcamps to tap into a new market and access more potential revenue streams.

Companies are investing greater resources in training employees in new skills -- partly due to an increase in automation that has displaced workers and disrupted the labor market. In line with this, McKinsey & Company estimated that almost one-third of American workers will need to shift to a new occupation by 2030 as a result of this increased automation [54]. In addition, there are now more job openings in the US than there are unemployed Americans [55]. In the tech space, companies are struggling to find the talent they need to fill technical roles as well [56]. In response to these trends, companies are looking for new ways to train their workers.

Of course, an investment in corporate training poses many benefits for companies. For example, corporate training may boost retention, as workers who are empowered through training may feel more attached to an organization. Training programs can also help companies fill growing talent needs: training existing workers instead of recruiting new ones allows companies to maintain a labor force that meets their needs without having to spend heavily on recruiting more talent. Furthermore, prospective employees who see that a company actively invests in training may be more likely to want to work for that company, thereby helping the company attract more talent.
Corporate training comes in many forms, including upskilling and education-as-a-benefit. Upskilling is used by employers to ensure their employees’ skills remain relevant, so their workforce can continue to be productive. For example, JPMorgan Chase has made a commitment to teaching its financial analysts to code. The company sees coding as a crucial part of their business. In an interview with the Financial Times, Mary Callahan Erdoes, Asset & Wealth Management CEO at J.P. Morgan, said, “Coding is not for just tech people, it is for anyone who wants to run a competitive company in the 21st century” [57].

Reskilling programs are designed to help workers move to an entirely different job that requires new skills. For example, a warehouse worker making a transition to software engineering in the same company would need to reskill.

One example of a company giving workers the chance to reskill is Amazon. Amazon has committed to train 100,000 of their new workers in modern technological skills by 2025 [58]. The areas Amazon is focusing on include machine learning and the Cloud. Although Amazon is not working with a bootcamp to offer this program -- they have started the Amazon Technical Academy to conduct internal training -- some companies do offer employee reskilling opportunities through bootcamps.

For example, Microsoft and General Assembly announced a partnership where the latter will train 15,000 of Microsoft’s workers in tech-related skills [59]. General Assembly also partnered with Disney to provide female employees opportunities to upskill and/or reskill [60].

A number of bootcamps are capitalizing on the growing demand for corporate training by creating their own corporate training programs. Galvanize, for example, has helped clients such as IBM and General Electric recruit engineers and retrain their staff in the skills they need [61].

General Assembly has worked with Adobe (to create the Adobe Digital Academy), Northwestern Mutual, Visa, NBC and other companies to train their workers in relevant digital skills [62]. Springboard announced in December 2019 that they will be partnering with Microsoft to train thousands of employees in data analytics roles [63]. Other bootcamps that have experimented with corporate training include Flatiron School, Coding Dojo and Ironhack.

For bootcamps, corporate training programs offer more than just an opportunity to expand their revenue streams. Offering corporate training allows bootcamps to develop relationships with employers who are actively looking to hire tech talent. With these relationships, bootcamps may be better able to help their graduates secure jobs with those employers. Bootcamps can also use corporate training programs as an opportunity to build their brands and reputations. Thus, there’s a good chance that corporate training programs offered by bootcamps will become more popular in the 2020s.
Based on our analysis of the coding bootcamp sector, it has become clear that coding bootcamps are starting to fill a real market demand. Coding bootcamps offer people who are underserved by traditional offerings the ability to acquire in-demand technology skills within a quicker timeline. With that said, the ultimate potential of coding bootcamps could be much larger than we see today.

In the future, if bootcamps reach their full potential, there are four main trends we expect to see: bootcamps offering a wider range of courses in new, specialized areas; bootcamps finding new ways to differentiate their offerings, thereby improving the quality of their schools; bootcamps innovating on existing payment options; and experimentation with new talent pipelines.

Specialization

Coding bootcamps have always been specialized institutions. As we have discussed earlier in this study, courses in software development and web development are among the most popular offerings from bootcamps, which are in themselves specialized careers within technology. We expect that coding bootcamps will remain specialized but will expand the range of their courses to accommodate new, in-demand jobs such as Developer Operations (DevOps), cloud computing, and big data.

There are already early signs that this will be the case. Coding bootcamps such as Sabio and DevLeague have started to offer courses in cybersecurity, for example. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, jobs in this field will increase by 32% between 2018 and 2020, which the Bureau describes as “much faster than average” [64]. Coding bootcamps have also experimented with courses in technology sales, business development, and digital marketing, which are all careers for which there is high demand in the technology industry. Flockjay and SV Academy are two examples of bootcamps that currently operate technology sales and business development courses, respectively.
Non-coding schools that leverage the bootcamp model could also grow. While there are few examples of non-technical bootcamps such as TradeCraft, we can expect that, if bootcamps reach their potential, some institutions may experiment with bootcamps for other industries. For example, in the future there may be bootcamps that experiment with nursing, or other areas where there is a strong demand for qualified workers. That said, it is unclear exactly how this trend will grow over the next decade, given the lack of precedence.

**Differentiation**

As noted earlier in this study, there are over 105 coding bootcamps serving the US market alone. Yet, coding bootcamps only constitute a small portion of the job training market, given their current focus on technical roles. Thus, we expect that coding bootcamps will find new ways to differentiate their offerings in an attempt to remain competitive and continue to find students to recruit into their programs.

There are a couple of ways in which schools may differentiate their offerings. Firstly, schools may offer additional career services to students. We have already seen an increased focus by coding bootcamps on building relationships with employers. General Assembly, for example, has a talent offering that facilitates companies who may be interested in hiring their alumni; other bootcamps offer similar programs [65].

Secondly, schools may experiment more with encouraging students to work for an approved partner while they learn. Kenzie Academy, for example, partners with Kelly Services to allow students to “earn and learn” and refine their skills while working on real-world projects [66]. Indeed, the primary goal of coding bootcamps -- and the primary metric by which they are evaluated -- is to help people find jobs in tech and so we can expect that bootcamps will experiment with new ways to help students get hired.

Further, we expect that more schools will offer living stipends and other forms of living assistance to their students as a means of differentiating their services. Lambda School and Thinkful both operate living stipend programs which help their students cover living costs while enrolled in bootcamp, in exchange for a higher repayment rate after graduation. V School and DevMountain also offer housing support to their students.
Living assistance is presently a strong differentiator because a big barrier for entry in attending bootcamp is that, if a student wants to enroll in a full-time program, they would have to quit their job, which may be financially difficult. With a living assistance program in place, though, students can study without having to worry about working while studying unless they want to do so.

In addition, we expect that schools will attempt to use new learning pedagogies or approaches to technical training. Some schools, as we noted in the “online bootcamps” section of this study, have based their value on being fully-remote, thereby enabling more people to attend their programs. Other schools, such as Launch Academy, have adopted mastery-based learning pedagogies, which are focused on ensuring students master the fundamentals before advancing.

While it is unclear exactly what innovations we can expect to see, the high degree of competition in the bootcamp sector indicates that differentiation will become more crucial to the industry in the next decade.

Payment Options

At present, coding bootcamp students are not eligible for Title IV federal financing. The Educational Quality through Innovative Partnerships (EQUIP) program, which would have supported students who wanted to seek federal aid to attend bootcamp, has been inactive in recent years, and there has been no evidence to suggest the federal government is interested in renewing the program [67]. Thus, students are dependent on free-market financing options, whether they are provided by bootcamps themselves or by external lenders or financiers.

Over the last decade, the number of ways in which students can finance their bootcamp education has increased. Traditionally, upfront payment was the main method students used to finance their education, but today students have access to student loans, ISAs, and more. Some bootcamps even offer scholarships for underrepresented groups and support financing under the G.I. Bill. We believe this growth can be attributed to the fact that more students are enrolling in coding bootcamp, and no singular financing option can cater to the needs of all students.
At scale, bootcamps need to offer more financing options to keep up with the evolving needs of their current and prospective students. In the next decade, we expect such diversity in financing options to continue. We also anticipate that Income Share Agreements will become a more popular method of financing, which offer students a form of “insurance” on their education -- if a student does not succeed after graduation, their tuition payments will be limited. Schools may also experiment with more scholarship programs, lending options, and other methods of financing, based on the evolving needs of students.

New Talent Pipelines

We also believe that more coding bootcamps will start turning themselves into software development agencies, as students seek experience working on more real-world projects and bootcamps look for ways to differentiate their offerings. Starting software development agencies in-house would offer both the bootcamps and their students a number of benefits. The idea is that when a student graduates from bootcamp, they will be able to transition into the bootcamp’s agency. This agency will take on outsourced work from companies and give bootcamp grads a salary, which they can then use to pay off their bootcamp education.

There are a few examples of this model in action. Revature, a technology talent development company, offers both a 12-week training program to help people learn to code and prepare for a job in tech, and a wide range of placement services. Talent Path, Revature, Catalyte, and Techtonic have similar programs where aspiring technology workers can work on real-world projects for clients as a method of developing more experience in the professional workforce.

For bootcamps, this model will help ensure that students are quickly employed in-field after graduation. This, in turn, will allow students to start earning at an earlier stage, thereby increasing the likelihood that they pay back their tuition to the bootcamp. Where a student has financed their education with an ISA, this is especially important. Bootcamps will also be able to use their agencies to cultivate relationships with employers, who may be interested in hiring graduates from the bootcamp after seeing, first-hand, the quality of their work.

This model also allows students to gain professional experience working on real projects for businesses and other organizations and reinforce the skills they have already learned. In addition, students could see the agency as a bridge to the labor market: a student works at the bootcamp’s agency for a month or two while they find a job, then move out.
Conclusion

Bootcamps have gained traction in recent years as a response to the growing need for talented technology workers in the labor market. From the launch of the first bootcamps in the early 2010s, tens of thousands of people have attended and gained tech industry-ready skills needed for a career switch. Add to this the fact that bootcamp graduates are scoring jobs at high-profile companies like Microsoft and Uber, and a growing confidence in the bootcamp model among engineers, hiring managers, and the general public becomes clear.

For the future, we have identified a number of potential expansion opportunities for bootcamps. For example, increasing online course offerings would allow bootcamps to increase student sign ups and remove physical location as a limiting factor in selecting a bootcamp to attend. In addition, bootcamps could also add corporate training programs to their list of offerings, working with companies to retrain and upskill their employees.

If bootcamps continue to innovate and find success equipping people with tech industry-ready skills, the bootcamp sector will likely see significant growth over the next five years -- both in the number of bootcamps and the number of students enrolling in them. There also may be a period of consolidation in the space, as existing players become more dominant and look to expand their influence.

In addition, the growth of coding bootcamps and the increased diversity in their offerings suggests that the bootcamp model could have wider applications than solely within the context of programming instruction. As courses in digital marketing, technology sales, and other non-coding technical disciplines have become more common, it may be a signal that bootcamps are looking to expand outside of programming disciplines. This would allow the bootcamp model to be applied to a much larger market segment: post-secondary training in non-technical fields.

Overall, bootcamps have proven themselves an effective pathway to a career in tech for thousands of people, and all signs indicate that the sector will continue to grow in coming years.
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Appendix A: Coding Bootcamps Included in Our Study

We studied a total of 105 companies in the making of this study. 92 of those companies are in-person bootcamps (sometimes with an additional digital component or online offering), and the other 13 are exclusively online bootcamps. Those companies are as follows:

In-Person Bootcamps

- 42
- 4Geeks Academy
- Actualize
- Ada Developers Academy
- Alchemy Code Lab
- Austin Coding Academy
- Bethel Tech
- Bitmaker General Assembly
- Boisecodeworks
- Brainstation
- Burlington Code Academy
- Byte Academy
- CareerFoundry
- Claim Academy
- Code Fellows
- Code Platoon
- Codecrew Code School
- Coder Foundry
- Codesmith
- Codeup
- Codewoks
- Codify Academy
- Coding Temple
- Data Application Lab
- Deep Dive Coding
- Designlab
- DevLeague
- DevMountain
- Devpoint Labs
- Digital Creative Institute
- Digitalcrafts
- Divergence Academy
- Edge Tech Academy
- Eleven Fifty Academy
- Epicodus
- Evolve Security Academy
- Flatiron School
- Flockjay
- Founders And Coders
- Fullstack Academy
- Geekstack Academy
- General Assembly
- Grace Hopper Program
- Grand Circus
- Hack Reactor / Galvanize
- Hackbright Academy
- Helio Training
- Holberton School
- Ironhack
- Juno College of Technology
- Learn Academy
- Learningfuze
- Lighthouse Labs
- Make School
- Makers Academy
- Max Technical Training
- Metis
- Momentum
- Nashville Software School
- Noble Desktop
- NYC Data Science Academy
- Operation Spark
- Orange County Code School
- PDX Code Guild
- Platform By Per Scholas
- Prime Digital Academy
- Product Faculty
- Product School
- Redwood Code Academy
- Rithm School
- Sabio
- Savvy Coders
- Secureset Academy
- Skill Distillery
- Software Guild
- Startup Institute
- Suncoast Developers Guild
- Tech Elevator
- Tech Talent South
- Tech901
- The Data Incubator
- The Dev Masters
- The Tech Academy
- Tradecraft
- Truecoders
- Turing
- We Can Code IT
- Wyncode
- Zip Code Wilmington

### Online Bootcamps

- App Academy
- Bloc
- Bottega
- Coding Dojo
- Kenzie Academy
- Lambda School
- Launch School
- Microverse
- NuCamp
- Springboard
- Thinkful
- V School
- Woz U

### University Bootcamps

- Butler University Data Analytics Boot Camp
- Case Western Reserve University Boot Camps
- Indiana Wesleyan University Cybersecurity Bootcamp
- Monroe Community College
- Rice University Boot Camps
- UCR Extension Boot Camps
- University Of Oregon Coding Boot Camp
- University Of Redlands Coding Boot Camp
- USD Professional And Continuing Education Coding Bootcamp
- Wagner College
# Appendix B: Income Share Agreement Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Name</th>
<th>Cash Paid Upfront (in lieu of ISA)</th>
<th>Deposit</th>
<th>Minimum Threshold</th>
<th>Income Share</th>
<th>Term (Months)</th>
<th>Payment Cap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4Geeks Academy</td>
<td>$8,999</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App Academy</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottega</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>13.00%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byte Academy</td>
<td>$14,950</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$29,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursuit</td>
<td></td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DigitalCrafts FT</td>
<td>$13,950</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatiron Access</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatiron School</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Assembly</td>
<td>$14,950</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$22,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juno College of Technology</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>17.00%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holberton School SF</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>17.00%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenzie Academy–FT</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>13.00%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda School–stipend</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda School–tuition</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>17.00%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LearningFuze</td>
<td>$13,995</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Name</td>
<td>Cash Paid Upfront (in lieu of ISA)</td>
<td>Deposit</td>
<td>Minimum Threshold</td>
<td>Income Share</td>
<td>Term (Months)</td>
<td>Payment Cap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make School</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microverse</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galvanize</td>
<td>$27,965</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$48,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rithm School</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>17.00%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$34,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinkful–FT</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turing School</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.80%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viking Code School</td>
<td>$12,800</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vschool</td>
<td>$14,900</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$29,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington Code Academy</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabio</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.00%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrainStation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ironhack</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dev Code Camp</td>
<td>$17,800</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Where data is not available, the cell has been left blank.*
## Appendix C: Historical Acquisitions, 2014–2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Year Founded</th>
<th>Purchase Price</th>
<th>Acquirer</th>
<th>Acquired Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DevBootcamp</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Kaplan Test Prep</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zipfian Academy</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Galvanize</td>
<td>November 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrainStation</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Konrad Group</td>
<td>December 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MakerSquare</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>ReactorCore</td>
<td>January 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Guild</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Learning House</td>
<td>April 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Yard*</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Apollo Education</td>
<td>July 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Makers</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>ReactorCore</td>
<td>September 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starter League</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Fullstack Academy</td>
<td>March 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hackbright Academy</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$18 million</td>
<td>Capella Education</td>
<td>April 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DevMountain</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$20 million</td>
<td>Capella Education</td>
<td>May 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitmaker Labs</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>General Assembly</td>
<td>August 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatiron School</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>WeWork</td>
<td>October 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viking Code School</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Thinkful</td>
<td>December 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Odin Project</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Thinkful</td>
<td>December 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>Year Founded</td>
<td>Purchase Price</td>
<td>Acquirer</td>
<td>Acquired Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloc</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Thinkful</td>
<td>April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Assembly</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$413 million</td>
<td>Adecco</td>
<td>April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hack Reactor</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Galvanize</td>
<td>July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firehose Project</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Trilogy Education Services</td>
<td>October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullstack Academy</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$17.5 million</td>
<td>Bridgepoint Education</td>
<td>March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trilogy Education Services</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$750 million</td>
<td>2U</td>
<td>April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SecureSet Academy</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Undisclosed</td>
<td>Flatiron School</td>
<td>August 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinkful</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$80 million</td>
<td>Chegg</td>
<td>September 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galvanize</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$165 million</td>
<td>K12 Inc.</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This deal was structured as a “strategic investment,” but Apollo Education purchased a controlling stake in the business. Thus, we have classified this deal as an acquisition.
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Career Karma helps people interested in breaking into careers in technology find the best job training for them, starting with coding bootcamps.

With the free Career Karma app, you can discover peers, coaches, and mentors to help accelerate your career in technology. Career Karma also publishes comprehensive insights into how to pick the best coding bootcamp and break into a career in technology.

James Gallagher is a researcher at Career Karma, where he focuses on student debt, higher education reform, and how Income Share Agreements can make higher education more affordable. James analyzes market trends influencing the higher education landscape, and his research involves studying Income Share Agreement policy, accountability in higher education, and various proposals for mitigating the rise of student debt.
You Might Also Be Interested In...

**How to Pay for Coding Bootcamp: The Definitive Guide**
In this comprehensive Career Karma guide, you'll learn about the wide variety of funding options available to help you pay for your coding bootcamp tuition. Topics include private loans, income share agreements, scholarships, and more!

**State of the Income Share Agreement Market – 2019**
Career Karma researcher, James Gallagher, provides a thorough overview and analysis of the major players in the income share agreement market today and offers insights into the future of this innovative funding mechanism.